Provost's Advisory Council Summary of October 29, 2009 meeting

Bert GarzaKathy DunnSusan GennaroPat ByrneAnna RhodesDavid QuigleyPat DeLeeuwBrian JacekDick Clifford, S.J.

Marilyn Matelski Amy Hutton Tom Wall Lillie Albert Hassan Tehranian Ce Shen Elyse Purcell Francine Cardman Callista Roy Colleen Griffith Jim Russell Kevin Bedell Rosanna DeMarco John Spinard Don Hafner Katie O'Dair Jenny Baglivo Anita Tien

Ana Martinez Aleman Gilda Morelli

President's Office.

1. The summary for the meeting of September 2200,9 was approved and will be sent to the

2. Executive Vice President Pat Kiengt joined the Council to revive the Long Range Financial Plan (LRFP). He observed that last yse acconomic downturn affected the FY2009 budget less than might be expected because the bundanteel been set and the funding set

aside. However, the impact on budgets in subsequent years will be significant.

As a result of last year's downturn, the Unsity rendowment finished fiscal year down 23% from the previous year. Increases that and fees were the lowest since 1974, and financial aid was increased significantly.

The University is reviewing all assumptions **æle**ments of its base planning model. Major assumptions of the long range budget planning model include:

- x tuition increases at the consumer price index (CPI) + 2% (and tuition remission/financial aid rising at the same rate)
- x capital campaign continuing for the sa**che**ration (to 2015) and with the same goal (\$1.5B), but early cash influx slowing
- x endowment return has historically been at; it seems prudent to reevaluate for a lower rate of return.
- x salary increment pool at CPI + 1%
- x fringe benefits at CPI + 4%. However, iretlast decade, increases in fringe benefits have exceeded this rate, with headthe benefits rising 8-9% yearly.
- x strategic initiatives at 2% plus increment, approximately \$5 million yearly It was noted that this budget planning motives been a "conservative" model, and that its elements have served the **Utris**ity well in the past.

Two hypothetical, boundary settingariations with differingsets of assumptions for budget planning were described:

- x A high-revenue, low-expense variation, whiwhuld lead to a projected surplus of approximately \$30 million by FY2015 and \$100 million by FY2020. Under this model, there would be no strategic intitives or capital expreses incurred.
- x A second variation, with low revenue and thiexpense assumptions, would lead to a projected deficit of \$61 million by FY201and \$100 million by FY2020. This model assumes the implementation of all strategian phitiatives and capital expenses, with tuition increases remaining at CPI.

Between these two extremes is a different \$100 million by FY2015 and \$200 million by FY2020.

In December and again in February, the **Teres** will discuss the assumptions governing these models and will review the LRFP. Tuiti**6**inancial aid, and capital projects--the areas with greatest impact on the budget--will be **exve**d with an eye toward maintaining the strategic goals of the Universityto continue academic mointem, have a balanced budget, meet enrollment targets on a need-blind basis, meet the full demonstrated need of students.

- Patrick Rombalski joined the Council todards the opening days the school year, before classes begin. A small committeet the cludes members from Student Affairs and the Provost's Office has been constituted to examine the opening days. Recent innovations include an "Opening Welcomby" the University and weekend programs to help students make the adjustment to college and to promote positive transitions and socialization. The goal is to ensuthat these initladays on campus are conducive to student learning represented an inaugural effort, and thratgramming would be expanded and likely refocused in future years, perhapsintal de discussions on academic integrity, workshops on issues of diversity, and conartions about the roland value of the Core. It was observed that this year's gramming did not begin until 5:00 pm, a time that was helpful in discouraging negative haviors in the evening, but that left students on their own during the day. Drange activities could include faculty-led excursions to cultural and historical sites of interest in Boston.
- x It was observed that the pateportion of summer Orietation sessions includes an opportunity for parents to heterom a student panel. It was been observed that there is very little academic content mention by the students--no mention of faculty, of an advisor, of classes, of reading.
- x It was suggested that somethe time for students could be spent in proximity to faculty. Others noted that it may be intimidating for new students to be around faculty.
- x One possible approach was suggested--totaleting successful programs (such as PULSE) and appropriate them as modelsimplementation during opening days.
- x It was suggested that information about **thea**hd wellness for students could also be presented during these days.

Members are asked to send additional commembout the opening days and student programs directly to Patrick Rombalski.

3.	Leo Sullivan and Bob Lewis joined the Provsokthvisory Council to provide information about the University's negotiations with Service Employees International Union,